## **REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully transverses this restriction.

Applicant argues again that all claims are part of the same invention and that multiple applications should not issue from this parent application. It is not in the public interest to have multiple expensive applications before the public in this matter.

## **ELECTION**

However, to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicant elects the identified Group I, Claims 1-16 and 19-28 with traverse.

## **SPECIES**

Applicants again argues that the special specie requirement is unnecessary. The Examiner can search quinoline, multiple amino acids and phenoxy to encompass this invention.

However to advance the search in this invention, Applicant elects with traverse as species the following structure:

Note that (OMe) may also be -H.

Claims which read on this species are as follows:

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, and 26 with traverse.

## **SUMMARY**

Applicants argues again this additional restriction/election is not necessary.

However, the elected and identified claims of Group I are of a form and scope for issuance. Prompt notification is requested.

If additional fees are required for the filing of this document, the Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>16-1331</u>.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 12, 2003

Howard M. Peters (Reg. No. 29,202)

Attorney of Record

PETERS VERNY JONES &SCHMITT, LLP

385 Sherman Avenue, Suite 6 Palo Alto, CA 94306-1840 Telephone: (650) 324-1677

Facsimile: (650) 324-1678

Attorney Docket No.: 3586.04-1

HMP:jia

f:\HMP\3586-04.1\election3.wpd